

CCTSI Research Studio Program

Jane EB Reusch MD Program Director
Allan Prochazka MD Co-Director
Marianne Johansen Program Manager

cctsi.cuanschutz.edu




Colorado Clinical and Translational
Sciences Institute (CCTSI)

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO DENVER | ANSCHUTZ MEDICAL CAMPUS



Integration Across CCTSI



Leadership Team & Diversity

- **Key Personnel:**

- Jane EB Reusch MD Program Director
- Allan Prochazka MD Co-Director
- Marianne Johansen Program Manager



Jane Reusch MD



Allan Prochazka MD

ELEMENT NAME
50% Female
0% PhD or other
Representation across CU Anschutz and
VAMC with panelists from all partners





CTS Roadblocks Addressed

- 1. Effectiveness and efficiency of translational research study design**
- 2. Rigor and reproducibility of research**
- 3. Community and stakeholder engagement**



Strategic Goals

1. **Goal:** To accelerate research programs across the career trajectory using an industrial design construct bringing together a team with different expertise to focus on a hurdle to research progress
2. **Goal:** To clarify long term goals and immediate goals of research projects to optimize communication regarding the clinical translational importance of a research program



How does the Research Studio Program work?


Standard Studio Consult: A one-time 90-minute consultation with a panel of 3 - 5 carefully selected experts from varied but relevant disciplines.

- 10–15 minute investigator presentation
- 60-70 minutes of targeted discussion around three or four predetermined questions
- 10-15 minute reflection of investigator and final comments
- Written and recorded summary
 - SWOT analysis from panelists (including moderators)
 - Session recording

**68 Studios
by zoom
T0.5-T4 spectrum**

Panelist expertise Hypothesis or aims refinement/clarification.

- Study design/methods development.
- Data management, analysis recommendations, and/or interpretation.
- Grantsmanship.
- Manuscript pitch, target audience message



Health Equity Goals

1

Stakeholder Engagement

Continue to work with panelists with a broad range of expertise and backgrounds

2

URM Representation

Reach out to the CTS research community to increase the number of diverse applicants requesting studios

3

Dissemination of Findings to the Public

Work with dissemination Studio team to incorporate community informed decision making



Year 1 Progress & Impact

- **Studios conducted in Year 1:** 16 Studios (through June 2024-*anticipated)
 - 2-T0, 3-T1, 5*-T2, 5*-T3, 1-T4
 - One diverse participant; many diverse panelists
- **Inclusion of Dissemination Team as Panelists**
 - Joined for 2 studios –not yet fine tuned for optimal incorporation
- **New Program Management**
 - Marianne Johansen and Dan Holtrop worked closely with us on the transition



Year 2 Plans

- **Goals for enhancing impact**
 1. **Increase Studio requests from Diverse applicants**
 2. **Optimize strategy for inclusion of the Dissemination Studio**
 3. **Repeat evaluation with more qualitative questions to use for advertising**
 4. **Formalize the Studio support of internal K12 programs**



Response to EAC Critiques

1. Previous or grant review “non-sensitive” critique:

- The previous input was to put together a report / scholarly summary of the studio impact. This has not been accomplished with turnover in support and the UM1 grant resubmission.





Questions for EAC

- 1. In light of previous advice to design a publication, we would appreciate your input on what metrics you would recommend and which journals to target**
- 2. Given the impact of these programs for the participants do you have advice on outreach to the scientific community to better message our community**

