

jF30/F31/F32 Review modified for Anschutz NRSA MOCK Study Section

Reviewer 1/2/3

Applicant:

OVERALL IMPACT

Reviewers will provide an overall impact score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood that the fellowship will enhance the candidate's potential for, and commitment to, a productive independent scientific research career in a health-related field, in consideration of the following scored and additional review criteria. An application does not need to be strong in all categories to be judged likely to have a major impact.

Overall Impact/Merit *Write a paragraph summarizing the factors that informed your Overall Impact score.*

--

SCORED REVIEW CRITERIA

Reviewers will consider each of the four review criteria below in the determination of the candidate's qualifications, scientific and technical merit of the proposed research, candidate's training potential, and give a separate score for each.

1. Fellowship Applicant

Strengths

-
-

Weaknesses

-

2. Sponsors, Collaborators, and Consultants

Strengths

-

Weaknesses

-

3. Research Training Plan

Strengths

-

Weaknesses

-

4. Training Potential

Strengths

-

Weaknesses

-

ADDITIONAL REVIEW CRITERIA

As applicable for the project proposed, reviewers will consider the following additional items in the determination of scientific and technical merit, but will not give separate scores for these items.

Resubmission: how well did the revision respond to previous comments?

Comments (if applicable):

-

Criterion 5. Institutional Environment & Commitment to Training, as well as all additional review criteria are NOT INCLUDED IN THIS MOCK STUDY SECTION